(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or any original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

"A FLASH	MEMORY DEVICE	E HAVING A PAGE MUI	DE OF OPERATIO	N"	
the specification of which	ı				
· XX	was filed on		as		
	or PCT Internat	pplication Numberional Application Number led on			
	and was amend	icd on	(if applicable)	•	
invention was ever known described in any printed p application, that the same to this application, and that issued before the date of tiled by me or my legal remonths (for a design pater). I acknowledge the duty to 37, Code of Federal Regular I hereby claim foreign pri application(s) for patent of	or used in the Unit sublication in any co was not in public use at the invention has this application in an presentatives or assist application) prior disclose all informa- distions, Section 1.5 ority benefits under r inventor's certifica	ation known to me to be ma	re my invention ther thereof or more that States of America me the subject of an involuted States of America this (for a utility patents) atterial to patentability de, Section 119(a)-so identified below	reof, or pater n one year paore than one ventor's certi- rica on an ap- ent application by as defined (d), of any for any foreign	nted or rior to this e year prior ficate plication on) or six in Title oreign application
Prior Foreign Application	<u>u(s)</u>		Priori	ty Claimed	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Yes)	(No)	-
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Yes)	(No)	-
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Yes)	(No)	-
I hereby claim the benefit provisional application(s)		ited States Code, Section 1	19(e) of any United	States	
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	_			


I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Kent D. Baker, Reg. No. 38,822; Jordan M. Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. P39,942: Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. P39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; William Donald Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Karen L. Feisthamel, Reg. No. 40,264; Scot A. Griffin, Reg. No. 38,167; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Brian Don Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; Eric Ho, Reg. No. P39,711; George W. Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Jeff D. Jacobs, Reg. No. 40,029; Dag H. Johansen, Reg No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Dolly M. Lee, Reg. No. 39,742; Daniel C. Mallery, Reg. No. 33,532; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Steven R. Sponseller, Reg. No. 39,384; David R. Stevens, Reg. No. 38,626; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; and Gary B. Goates, Reg. No. 35,159; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; and Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; my patent agents; of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Inventor's Signature	First Inventor (given name, family name)	Date <u>August 15/1996</u>
Residence Los Ga	tos, California (City, State)	Citizenship U.S.A. (Country)
P. O. Address <u>363</u>	Blackwell Drive	





- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.